



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,815	02/18/2005	Erich Gulbins	AERX-134	1995
24353 7590 06/07/2010 BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303				
EXAMINER JEAN-LOUIS, SAMIRA JM				
ART UNIT 1627		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 06/07/2010		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/524,815		GULBINS, ERICH	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	SAMIRA JEAN-LOUIS		1627	

All Participants:

(1) SAMIRA JEAN-LOUIS.

(2) Karl Bozicevic.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 (a) rejection over Sanders in view of Baillie and in further view of NY times

Claims discussed:

n?A

Prior art documents discussed:

Baillie et al. (The Lancet, 1967, pgs. 369-370)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Samira Jean-Louis/
Examiner, Art Unit 1627

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 06:00 p.m.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant contacted Examiner about an interview and wanted to discuss the rejection of record. Though an interview was set up for 5/25/10, applicant wanted to discuss how Baillie was being interpreted. Applicant argues that Baillie teaches that reduction in the dosage of amitriptylline led to improvement of sputum and thus cystic fibrosis. As a result, applicant contends that low administration all the way to no drug administration (i.e. no amitriptylline) is therefore suggested by Baillie. After careful consideration, the Examiner agrees with applicant that Baillie does in fact teach away from administering amitriptylline to cystic fibrosis patient. The Examiner agreed to discuss the case the following day with Applicant and Supervisor Padmanabhan. .